arXiv:1105.2420v1 [math.QA] 12 May 2011

On the Hopf algebra setting of the flat superspace's deformation[*]

Axel de Goursac

Département de Mathématiques, Université Catholique de Louvain,
Chemin du Cyclotron 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
e-mail: `axelmg@melix.net`

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a Fréchet-Hopf algebra setting natural for supergroups, in particular for the flat supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, and also compatible with its deformation quantization. We construct indeed this deformation in the sheaf-theoretic approach of supergeometry, and show that the universal deformation formula corresponds to a continuous twist acting on Fréchet comodule-algebras on the Fréchet-Hopf algebra associated to $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$. Furthermore, we prove that the group of internal symmetries of this deformation is exactly the inhomogeneous orthosymplectic group, and that the external symmetries of the universal deformation are not twisted.

Keywords: deformation quantization; superspace; Hopf algebra; Fréchet functional spaces; topological tensor product; sheaf; orthosymplectic group

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53D55; 16T05; 46L85; 46E10

---

[*] Work supported by the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole (IAP) within the framework "Nonlinear systems, stochastic processes, and statistical mechanics" (NOSY).

1 Introduction

As a part of noncommutative geometry [1], deformation quantization [2] consists in introducing a noncommutative product on the algebra of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$ on a Poisson manifold M. This product depends on a deformation parameter θ so that $\theta = 0$ yields the usual commutative product on $C^\infty(M)$. We thus have the choice of studying deformations with a formal deformation parameter (see in particular [3]) or a non-formal one ($\theta \in \mathbb{R}$).

In the case of a symplectic Lie group G, to any left-invariant formal deformation on $C^\infty(G)$ (also called star-product) is associated a Drinfeld twist [4] on the universal enveloping Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie algebra of G. Then, such a twist $F \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\theta]]$ deforms also any $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module-algebra $\mathbf{A}$, what is called a universal deformation formula (UDF).

The symmetries of such a deformation are of two types:

- the internal symmetries (of the star-product) correspond to the subgroup of the diffeomorphisms Diff(G) under which the star-product is covariant.
- the external symmetries (of the UDF) correspond to the twisted Hopf algebra on which the deformation of the algebras $\mathbf{A}$ are module-algebras (see [5]).

In the non-formal case, there are not many examples of deformation quantization. Rieffel [6] built the deformation of abelian groups and the associated UDF. It has also recently been extended to non-abelian Kählerian Lie groups [7, 8, 9].

On another side, supergeometry is a geometrical theory whose objects can be seen as generalizations of manifolds and Lie groups by adding to the usual commutative ones some anticommutative coordinates. Such objects, called supermanifolds and supergroups, have been constructed in two different but equivalent ways: the sheaf-theoretic formalism of Berezin, Kostant, Leites [10, 11] by using sheaves of graded algebras, and the concrete approach of DeWitt [12] by replacing the basis field $\mathbb{R}$ with a supercommutative algebra. In both approaches, the superfunctions form a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative Hopf algebra. We then see that the category of supergroups (graded commutative) lies between the one of Lie groups (commutative) and the one of quantum groups (noncommutative).

In a recent paper, the non-formal deformation quantization of the Heisenberg supergroup has been built in [13], together with the associated UDF. It was motivated by physics since a renormalizable scalar quantum field theory on the Moyal space can be interpreted with the star-product of the superspace $\mathbb{R}^{m|1}$ (see [14]), as well as its associated gauge theory [15, 16]. Note that the construction of [13] has been developed in the concrete approach of supergeometry.

In this paper, we construct a non-formal deformation quantization of the flat supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ in the sheaf-theoretic approach, by using some results of [13]. We show that this supergroup leads to a graded Fréchet-Hopf algebra setting, which can be used to reformulate the UDF as a continuous twist acting on Fréchet comodule-algebras on this Fréchet-Hopf algebra. Finally we exhibit the internal symmetries of the deformation as well as the external symmetries of the UDF.

The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2, the concrete approach of supergeometry, and the sheaf-theoretic one are recalled, as well as their equivalence. Then, some structures like scalar products are introduced on the functional space $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ in order to define the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$. We also introduce the topological tensor product τ associated to the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ which permits to see the supergroup structure of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ as equivalent to the one of graded commutative Fréchet-Hopf algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$.

In section 3, we recall some results of [13] on the deformation of the Heisenberg supergroup in the concrete approach. Then, we exhibit the quantization map and the star-product on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ in the sheaf-theoretic approach, and prove that the deformation is in the direction of the Poisson bracket associated to the superspace $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ endowed with a particular even symplectic form. Next, the UDF - that is the deformation of Fréchet algebras $\mathbf{A}$ on which $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is acting - is exposed and shown to be associated to a continuous twist $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathbf{A}^\infty)$ on a projective tensor product of algebras. We then prove that the two topological tensor products τ and π are compatible, so that the UDF can be seen as the twist of continuous coactions of the Fréchet-Hopf algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ by F.

In section 4, we show that the internal symmetries of the deformation correspond to the inhomogeneous orthosymplectic supergroup, and its external symmetries to the undeformed $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$. Finally, we propose an analysis comparing concrete and sheaf-theoretic approaches for the construction of a deformation quantization of a supergroup and conclude.

2 Supergeometric formalisms

2.1 Concrete approach

We first start with the exposition of the concrete approach developed by DeWitt, Rogers, Tuynman,... (see [12, 17, 18]). The essence of this approach consists to replace the basis field $\mathbb{R}$ by a real supercommutative superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ in all the geometric constructions.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigwedge V$, where V is an real infinite-dimensional vector space. Then, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative algebra

$$\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A} \quad : \quad ab = (-1)^{|a||b|} ba,$$

where $|a| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes the degree of the homogeneous element a, and the expression is extended by linearity to inhomogeneous elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, it satisfies $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the ideal of nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{A}$. We denote by $\mathbb{B} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ the quotient map by $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$, and call it the body map.

Definition 2.1 (flat superspace) We define the flat superspace of (graded) dimension $m|n$ to be $\mathbb{R}^{m|n} := (\mathcal{A}_0)^m \times (\mathcal{A}_1)^n$. It involves m even (commuting) coordinates and n odd (anticommuting) coordinates in the canonical basis. The body map can be applied on each even coordinate and is also denoted by $\mathbb{B} : \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Moreover, if m is an even number, this superspace can be endowed by the even symplectic structure associated to the matrix $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$ in the canonical basis, with $\omega_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.♦

The DeWitt topology of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ can be constructed as follows. A subset U of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is called open if $\mathbb{B}U$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $U = \mathbb{B}^{-1}(\mathbb{B}U)$, namely U is saturated with nilpotent elements. It is of course not a Hausdorff topology.

We can define the smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{m|0} = (\mathcal{A}_0)^m$ as associated to elements of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Definition 2.2 To any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ one can associate the function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^{m|0} \to \mathcal{A}_0$ defined by: $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0} = (\mathcal{A}_0)^m$, with $x = x_0 + n$, $x_0 = \mathbb{B}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $n \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0}$ a nilpotent element,

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha f(x_0) n^\alpha,$$

with the usual notations for the multi-index α. Note that the sum over α is finite due to the nilpotency of n. ⧫

Definition 2.3 (smooth superfunctions) Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$. A map $f : U \to \mathcal{A}$ is said to be smooth on U, and written $f \in C^\infty(U)$, if there exist unique functions $f_I \in C^\infty(\mathbb{B}U)$ for all ordered subsets I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, such that $\forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^{m|0}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{0|n}$),

$$f(x, \xi) = \sum_I \tilde{f}_I(x) \xi^I,$$

where ξ^I denotes the ordered product of the corresponding coefficients. This means that, if $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $1 < i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, then $\xi^I := \prod_{i \in I} \xi^i = \xi_{i_1} \cdot \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_k}$, and we take as a convention: $\xi^\emptyset = 1$. We extend this definition in the usual way to functions with values in a superspace. ⧫

We come now to introduce the notion of supermanifold.

Definition 2.4 (supermanifold, supergroup) Let M be a topological space.

- A chart of M is a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to W$, with U an open subset of M and W an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- An atlas of M is a collection of charts $\mathcal{S} = \{\varphi_i : U_i \to W_i,\ i \in I\}$ where $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = M$ and $\forall i, j \in I$, $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1} \in C^\infty(\varphi_j(U_i \cap U_j), W_i)_0$.
- If M is endowed with an atlas, we define its body as:

  $$\mathbb{B}M = \{y \in M,\ \exists \varphi_i / \, y \in U_i \text{ and } \varphi_i(y) \in \mathbb{B}W_i\},$$

  and the body map $\mathbb{B} : M \to \mathbb{B}M$ on each subset U_i by: $\mathbb{B}_{|U_i} = \varphi_i^{-1} \circ \mathbb{B} \circ \varphi_i$.
- M is called a supermanifold if it is endowed with an atlas such that $\mathbb{B}M$ is a real manifold.
- Let M be a supermanifold. A function f on M is called smooth, and written $f \in C^\infty(M)$, if and only if for any chart φ_i in an atlas for M, $f \circ \varphi_i^{-1} \in C^\infty(W_i)$. Note that $C^\infty(M)$ is a Fréchet supercommutative superalgebra.
- A Lie supergroup is a supermanifold G which has a group structure for which the multiplication is a smooth map. Consequently, the identity element of the supergroup has real coordinates (it lies in $\mathbb{B}G$), and the inverse map is smooth. ⧫

A supermanifold M of dimension $m|n$ will be called trivial if there exists a supermanifold M_o of dimension $m|0$ such that $M \simeq M_o \times \mathbb{R}^{0|n}$. Note that $\mathbb{B}M_o = \mathbb{B}M$ and that M_o is totally determined by $\mathbb{B}M$. In particular, it can be shown (see [18]) that every Lie supergroup has a underlying structure of trivial supermanifold.

Example 2.5 The superspace $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ has a structure of abelian supergroup. Its law can be expressed as

$$\forall (x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \quad : \quad (x, \xi) \cdot (y, \eta) = (x + y, \xi + \eta).$$

Another example is given by the Heisenberg supergroup G. As a supermanifold it is isomorphic to the flat superspace: $G \approx \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \times \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$, while its law is defined as

$$\forall (x, \xi), (y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n},\ \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{1|0} \quad : \quad (x, \xi, a) \cdot (y, \eta, b) = (x + y, \xi + \eta, a + b + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0(x, y) + \xi\eta).$$

G is a non-abelian supergroup of dimension $m + 1|n$ with neutral element $(0, 0, 0)$, and inverse given by $(x, \xi, a)^{-1} = (-x, -\xi, -a)$. ⧫

We will also need to introduce supermatrices for the following.

Definition 2.6 A square supermatrix A of size (m, n) is a matrix with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ and of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{00} & A_{01} \\ A_{10} & A_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_{00} is a $m \times m$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{A}_0$, A_{01} is a $m \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{A}_1$, A_{10} is a $n \times m$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{A}_1$, and A_{11} is a $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{A}_0$. ◆

The set of square supermatrices of size (m, n) is a superalgebra for the standard addition and multiplication. We denote by $GL(m, n)$ the supergroup of invertible square supermatrices of size (m, n). Finally, we define the supertranspose of a supermatrix A by:

$$A^{ST} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{00}^T & -A_{10}^T \\ A_{01}^T & A_{11}^T \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

It satisfies $(AB)^{ST} = B^{ST} A^{ST}$, contrary to the usual transpose.

2.2 Sheaf-theoretic approach

There exists another formalism of supergeometry which is closer to the spirit of noncommutative geometry. It is called the sheaf-theoretic approach introduced by Berezin, Kostant, Leites (see [11, 10, 17]) and is based on the notion of sheaf that we recall in the following. We will also see in this subsection that both approaches are equivalent.

Definition 2.7 (sheaf) Let X be a topological space. A sheaf $\mathcal{O}$ of supercommutative algebras over X is a family of supercommutative ($\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded) algebras $\{\mathcal{O}(U),\ U \text{ open in X}\}$ such that

1. For any open sets U, V in X such that $V \subset U$, there exists an algebra homomorphism $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{O}(U) \to \mathcal{O}(V)$ called a restriction map.
2. For U, V, W open subsets of X with $W \subset V \subset U$, $\rho_{VW} \circ \rho_{UV} = \rho_{UW}$.
3. For any open U in X, any open cover $\{U_i,\ i \in I\}$ of U, $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, then ($\forall i \in I$, $\rho_{UU_i} f = \rho_{UU_i} g$) implies that $f = g$.
4. For any $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(U_i)$ satisfying $\rho_{U_i,(U_i \cap U_j)} f_i = \rho_{U_j,(U_i \cap U_j)} f_j$ for all $i, j \in I$, then there exists an element $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $f_i = \rho_{UU_i} f$. ◆

If M is a smooth manifold, $\{C^\infty(U),\ U \text{ open in M}\}$ is an example of sheaf of commutative algebras, denoted by (M, C^∞).

Definition 2.8 (supermanifold) We define a supermanifold of dimension $m|n$ in this sheaf-theoretic approach to be a pair $(M, \mathcal{O})$ with M a real smooth manifold of dimension m and $\mathcal{O}$ a sheaf of supercommutative algebras over M such that

1. there exists an open cover $\{U_i,\ i \in I\}$ of M where
$$\forall i \in I \quad : \quad \mathcal{O}(U_i) \simeq C^\infty(U_i) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n.$$
2. by denoting $\mathcal{N}$ the sheaf of nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{O}$, then $(M, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{N})$ is isomorphic to (M, C^∞). ◆

We will also say that a neighborhood U in M on which $\mathcal{O}(U) \simeq C^\infty(U) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ is a splitting neighborhood. A trivial supermanifold is a supermanifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$ for which M is a splitting neighborhood. We also define the body map of a supermanifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$ to be the quotient map by $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathbb{B} : \mathcal{O} \to C^\infty$ in the identification of the condition 2. of Definition 2.8.

Proposition 2.9 *There is a bijective correspondence for the notion of supermanifold in the sheaf-theoretic and concrete approaches. Indeed, let M be a supermanifold of dimension $m|n$ in the sense of Definition 2.4 and let $\mathcal{O}$ be the sheaf of supercommutative algebras over $\mathbb{B}M$ defined by $\mathcal{O}(U) = C^\infty(\mathbb{B}^{-1}(U))$ for any open subset U of $\mathbb{B}M$. Then, $(\mathbb{B}M, \mathcal{O})$ is a supermanifold of dimension $m|n$ in the sense of Definition 2.8.*

If we consider a Lie supergroup G in the sense of Definition 2.4, it is a trivial supermanifold. Consequently, the corresponding sheaf satisfies: $H := \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}G) \simeq C^\infty(G) \simeq C^\infty(\mathbb{B}G) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$. In the identification of Proposition 2.9, we can endow H with a structure of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative Hopf algebra. One indeed defines:

- the product $\mu : H \otimes H \to H$ by $\mu(f_1 \otimes f_2)(g) = f_1(g) f_2(g)$,
- the unit $\mathbb{1} : \mathbb{C} \to H$ by $\mathbb{1}(\lambda)(g) = \lambda$,
- the coproduct $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ by $\Delta f(g_1, g_2) = f(g_1 g_2)$,
- the counit $\varepsilon : H \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\varepsilon(f) = f(e_G)$,
- the antipode $S : H \to H$ by $Sf(g) = f(g^{-1})$,

where $f_i \in C^\infty(G) \simeq H$, $g_i \in G$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and e_G the neutral element of G. Note that the exchange map $\sigma_{12} : H \otimes H \to H \otimes H$, needed for the axioms of Hopf algebra (see for example [19]), has to take into account the grading: $\sigma_{12}(f_1 \otimes f_2) = (-1)^{|f_1||f_2|} f_2 \otimes f_1$.

This leads to the definition of a supergroup [11] in the sheaf-theoretic approach.

Definition 2.10 (supergroup) A supergroup in this sheaf-theoretic approach is a supermanifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative Hopf algebra, with smooth coproduct and antipode. ◆

2.3 Functional spaces

We consider in this subsection the functional spaces associated to the trivial supermanifold $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ and their structures.

First, let us study the structure of the exterior algebra $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$. We call $\{\theta^i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any (ordered) subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote by $|I| = k$ the cardinal of I and we define $\theta^I = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \theta^i \equiv \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_k} \in \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^n$. As a convention, we also set $\theta^\emptyset = 1 \in \bigwedge^0 \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}$. For any two (ordered) subsets $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_\ell\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ we define $\varepsilon(I, J)$ to be zero if $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ and (-1) to the power the number of transpositions needed to put $i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_\ell$ into order if $I \cap J = \emptyset$. It then verifies

$$\varepsilon(I, J) = (-1)^{|I||J|} \varepsilon(J, I), \qquad \varepsilon(I, J \cup K) = \varepsilon(I, J) \varepsilon(I, K) \text{ if } J \cap K = \emptyset.$$

As a consequence, the product in $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by: $\theta^I \cdot \theta^J = \varepsilon(I, J) \theta^{I \cup J}$. Since the elements θ^I form a basis of $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ when I runs through all (ordered) subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we can define a supersymmetric non-degenerate scalar product by the formula

$$\langle \theta^I, \theta^J \rangle = \varepsilon(I, J) \delta_{J, \complement I}, \tag{2.2}$$

which satisfies: $\langle \theta^I, \theta^J \rangle = (-1)^{|I||J|} \langle \theta^J, \theta^I \rangle$. An analog of the Hodge operation can also be introduced (see [13]): $*\theta^I = \varepsilon(I, \complement I)\theta^{\complement I}$, as well as a symmetric positive definite scalar product:

$$\big(\theta^I, \theta^J\big) = \langle \theta^I, *\theta^J \rangle = \delta_{I,J}. \tag{2.3}$$

The explicit correspondence of Proposition 2.9 on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ which will be useful in the following is given by:

$$f : (x,\xi) \mapsto \sum_I \tilde{f}_I(x)\xi^I \; \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \quad \longmapsto \quad f = \sum_I f_I \otimes \theta^I \; \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

From now on, we denote also by $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ the space $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^m)$: an element of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ can be either seen as a superfunction or a tensor product $f_I \otimes \theta^I$.

Proposition 2.11 *The supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is defined in the sheaf-theoretic approach by the Hopf algebra $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ with coproduct $\Delta(f_I \otimes \theta^I) = \sum_{J,K} \varepsilon(J,K)(\Delta_0 f_{J\cup K})\theta^J \otimes \theta^K$, counit $\varepsilon(f_I \otimes \theta^I) = \varepsilon_0(f_\emptyset) = f_\emptyset(0)$ and antipode $S(f_I \otimes \theta^I) = (-1)^{|I|} S_0(f_I) \otimes \theta^I$, where the sum on J, K is constrained by $J \cap K = \emptyset$, $\Delta_0(f_I)(x,y) = f_I(x+y)$ and $S_0(f_I)(x) = f_I(-x)$.*

The Heisenberg supergroup G is defined as above by the Hopf algebra $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m+1|n})$, but with coproduct:

$$\Delta(f_I \otimes \theta^I) = \sum_{I,J} c_{I,J} \Delta_0(\partial_2^{|I\cap J|} f_{I\cup J \setminus I\cap J})\theta^I \otimes \theta^J,$$

where

$$c_{IJ} = (-1)^{\frac{|I\cap J|(|I\cap J|+1)}{2} + |I||I\cap J|} \varepsilon(I \setminus (I\cap J), J \setminus (I \cap J))\varepsilon(I\cap J, (I\cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)),$$

$\partial_2 f_K$ represents the derivative of the function $f_K(x,a)$ with respect to the variable $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\Delta_0 f_K(x,a,y,b) = f_K(x+y, a+b+\frac{1}{2}\omega_0(x,y))$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof It is a long but similar computation as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, by expanding the expressions $f((x,\xi)\cdot(y,\eta))$ and $f((x,\xi,a)\cdot(y,\eta,b))$ (see Example 2.5) with respect to the odd variables ξ and η. ■

We want to introduce a complex conjugation. Let $\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Then, this complex conjugation is defined as

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \quad : \quad \overline{a \otimes \lambda} := a \otimes \overline{\lambda},$$

so that the identity $\overline{ab} = \bar{a}\bar{b} = (-1)^{|a||b|}\bar{b}\bar{a}$, $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}_\mathbb{C}$, is satisfied. In the following, we will consider the complexification of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ (also denoted by this symbol) endowed with this complex conjugation. In the sheaf-theoretic formalism, it corresponds to $\overline{f_I \otimes \theta^I} = \overline{f_I} \otimes \theta^I$.

We recall the Lebesgue-Berezin integration on $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} \mathrm{d}z\, f(z) = \int (f_I \otimes \theta^I) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathrm{d}x\, f_{\{1,\dots,n\}}(x).$$

The scalar products defined on $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$ can be extended to a certain subclass of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ by:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}\xi\, \overline{f(x,\xi)} g(x,\xi) = \sum_I \varepsilon(I, \complement I) \int \mathrm{d}x \overline{f_I(x)} g_{\complement I}(x),$$

$$(f, g) = \int \mathrm{d}x\, \mathrm{d}\xi\, \overline{f(x,\xi)} (*g)(x,\xi) = \sum_I \int \mathrm{d}x \overline{f_I(x)} g_I(x). \tag{2.4}$$

The first one is superhermitian while the second one is hermitian positive definite.

Definition 2.12 Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a Fréchet algebra with its family of seminorms $|\cdot|_j$. We define the $\mathbf{A}$-valued

- smooth superfunctions with every derivative bounded as $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$. Endowed with the seminorms $|f|_{j,\alpha} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m}\{\sum_I |D^\alpha f_I(x)|_j\}$, this space is a Fréchet superalgebra.
- L^p-superfunctions as $L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) = L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$. Endowed with the seminorms $|f|_{j,p} = \Big(\sum_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathrm{d}x |f_I(x)|^p_j\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, this space is a Fréchet superalgebra. Note that for $p = 2$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}$, there is only one norm, and it corresponds to the one induced by the positive definite scalar product (2.4), so that $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ is a Hilbert space. ◆

For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}$, the subscript is omitted.

Finally, let us recall the composition law for derivatives of smooth superfunctions $f, g : \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \to \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$

$$\partial_\mu(g^\nu \circ f)(z) = \sum_\rho \partial_\mu f^\rho(z)(\partial_\rho g^\nu)(f(z)), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$ and g^ν is the ν-th component of the superfunction g.

2.4 The Fréchet-Hopf algebra of a supergroup

We will reformulate in this subsection the definition of a supergroup (see Definition 2.10) in the sheaf-theoretic approach by using a Fréchet-Hopf algebra structure.

Let us first recall the definition of the projective tensor product [20] of two Fréchet algebras $(\mathbf{A}, |\cdot|_j)$ and $(\mathbf{B}, |\cdot|_k)$. It is the completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$ for the family of seminorms: $\forall c \in \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$,

$$\pi_{j,k}(c) = \inf\{|\sum_i |a_i|_j |b_i|_k, \quad c = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions $c = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$. This completion is denoted by $\mathbf{A}\widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathbf{B}$.

Note also that Definition 2.12 of the space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(G)$ can be extended for an arbitrary supergroup G (with body $\mathbb{B}G$). Then, one introduces another topological tensor product, denoted by τ, as follows. $\mathbf{A}\widehat{\otimes}_\tau\mathcal{B}(G)$ is the completion of the algebraic tensor product for the family of seminorms of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(G)$:

$$\tau_{j,\alpha}(f) = |f|_{j,\alpha} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{B}G}\{\sum_I |D^\alpha f_I(x)|_j\}.$$

One can then show that $\mathcal{B}(G)\widehat{\otimes}_\tau\mathcal{B}(G) \simeq \mathcal{B}(G\times G)$, and by definition, $\mathbf{A}\widehat{\otimes}_\tau\mathcal{B}(G) \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(G)$.

The structure of Hopf algebra on $C^\infty(G)$ can be transported on $H := \mathcal{B}(G)$ as

- the product $\tilde{\mu} : H \otimes H \to H$ by $\tilde{\mu}(f_1 \otimes f_2)(g) = f_1(g)f_2(g)$,
- the unit $\mathbb{1} : \mathbb{C} \to H$ by $\mathbb{1}(\lambda)(g) = \lambda$,
- the coproduct $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ by $\Delta f(g_1, g_2) = f(g_1 g_2)$,
- the counit $\varepsilon : H \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\varepsilon(f) = f(e_G)$,
- the antipode $S : H \to H$ by $Sf(g) = f(g^{-1})$,

for $f_i \in H$, $g_i \in G$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. H is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative Hopf algebra.

Proposition 2.13 *The smoothness of the multiplication* $m : G \times G \to G$ *and of the inverse map* $\kappa : G \to G$ *(together with the fact that their components lies in the* $\mathcal{B}$*-algebra) is equivalent to the continuity of the coproduct* $\Delta : H \to H \widehat{\otimes}_\tau H$ *and of the antipode* $S : H \to H$ *as maps of Fréchet algebras.*

PROOF Let us assume that m is smooth and in $\mathcal{B}(G \times G)$. For $f \in \mathcal{B}(G)$,

$$\tau_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta(f)) = \sup_{x_1,x_2 \in \mathbb{B}G} \{\sum_{I,J} |\varepsilon(I,J) D^\alpha_{x_1} D^\beta_{x_2} f_{I\cup J}(m(x_1,x_2))|\}.$$

By using the composition law (2.5) many times, we obtain products of elements of the form $(D^\gamma f_{I\cup J})(m(x_1,x_2))$, $D^{\gamma_1}_{x_1} m_{\delta_1}(x_1,x_2)$, $D^{\gamma_2}_{x_2} m_{\delta_2}(x_1,x_2)$. Since the components m_δ lie in $\mathcal{B}(G\times G)$, there exist constants $C_\gamma > 0$ such that $\tau_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta(f)) \leq \sum_\gamma C_\gamma |f|_\gamma$, where γ is a multi-index constrained by $\gamma \leq \alpha + \beta$. This means that Δ is continuous. Conversely, if Δ is valued in $H \widehat{\otimes}_\tau H \simeq \mathcal{B}(G \times G)$, then $\forall f \in H$, $f \circ m \in \mathcal{B}(G \times G)$. In particular, m is smooth and its components lie also in $\mathcal{B}(G \times G)$. The result on S can be shown in the same way. ■

Definition 2.14 A Fréchet-Hopf algebra can be defined as a Hopf algebra using topological tensor products, also endowed with a structure of Fréchet algebra, such that product, unit, coproduct, counit and antipode are continuous maps for the Fréchet structure. ♦

The product $\tilde{\mu} : H \widehat{\otimes}_\tau H \to H$ and the other maps are obviously continuous. Note also that in Definition 2.10, the smoothness of Δ and S is equivalent to the smoothness of m and κ (see Proposition 2.13). As a consequence, we can take the following definition for a supergroup.

Definition 2.15 (supergroup) A supergroup can be defined as a supermanifold $(M, \mathcal{O})$ (for the sheaf C^∞ or $\mathcal{B}$) such that $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative Fréchet-Hopf algebra. ♦

We will use this definition in the following of this paper. Note that it is more abstract than Definition 2.10 and more appropriate in order to consider the noncommutative generalization which could be called a quantum supergroup and then defined as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Fréchet-Hopf algebra (see [21, 22] for quantum groups).

Remark 2.16 If the multiplication and the inverse map of the supergroup are not in $\mathcal{B}(G)$, then one has to modify the previous construction by using the Hopf algebra $C^\infty(G)$ (see also [23]). It works directly and both topological tensor products τ and π (projective) coincide since $C^\infty(G)$ is a nuclear space. We could have presented this subsection as described in this remark. However, we preferred to use $\mathcal{B}(G)$ as it will be necessary for the following of this paper to define a non-formal deformation quantization. In this case, $C^\infty(G)$ cannot be used. ♦

3 Deformation Quantization

3.1 Quantization map

The first step of the deformation quantization procedure is to construct a representation of the Heisenberg supergroup G. It has been done in the concrete approach in [13] by using the Kirillov's orbits method. We choose a real polarization for the supergroup G: we note $W \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\frac{m}{2}|0}$ a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ and $Q \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\frac{m}{2}|n}$ its dual for the even symplectic form ω (see Definition 2.1), $K = \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ and $B = W \times K$. B is the subgroup of $G \simeq Q \times B$ associated to the chosen polarization. Then, the map $U : G \to \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}$ is the space of $\mathcal{A}$-linear maps, defined by $\forall (x,\xi,w,a) \in G$, $\forall (x_0,\xi_0) \in Q$,

$$U(x,\xi,w,a)\varphi(x_0,\xi_0) = e^{\frac{i}{\theta}(a + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0(x-2x_0,w) + \xi\xi_0)} \varphi(x_0 - x, \xi_0 - \xi),$$

is a representation of the supergroup G, induced from the subgroup B, which is unitary for the superhermitian scalar product: $\forall g \in G$, $\langle U(g)\varphi, U(g)\psi\rangle = \langle \varphi, \psi\rangle$. Here, θ is a non-zero real parameter. U is the generalization of the Schrödinger representation to graded setting.

In a second step, a quantization map $\Omega : L^1(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \to \mathcal{L}(L^2(Q))$ is built from the unitary representation U which associate to any integrable superfunction of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n} \simeq G/K = Q \times W$, a bounded operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(Q)$ (see also [13] for this construction). Its explicit expression is given by $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$, $\forall (x_0, \xi_0) \in Q$,

$$(\Omega(f)\varphi)(x_0,\xi_0) = \gamma \int_M \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}w f(x,\xi,w) \int \mathrm{d}\xi_1\ e^{\frac{i}{\theta}(2\omega_0(x-x_0,w)+\xi\xi_0-\alpha\xi_1\xi_0-(\alpha+1)\xi\xi_1)}\varphi(2x-x_0,\xi+\xi_1), \quad (3.1)$$

with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{-1,0\}$ parameters of the deformation, and $\gamma = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(i\theta)^n}{(\pi\theta)^{\frac{m}{2}}(1+\alpha)^n}$. It is the generalization of the Weyl's quantization to the graded setting. To express Ω in the sheaf-theoretic formalism, we need to look at the product of Clifford algebra (see for example [24]).

Lemma 3.1 (Clifford algebra) *The Clifford algebra $Cl(n,\mathbb{C})$ can be represented on $\bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n$ (see the beginning of subsection 2.3), with product on the generators: $\theta^i{\cdot}\theta^i = 1$ and $\theta^i{\cdot}\theta^j = -\theta^{\{i,j\}} = -\theta^j{\cdot}\theta^i$ for $i < j$. Then the product can be expressed as $\theta^I{\cdot}\theta^J = c_{IJ}\theta^{I\cup J\setminus I\cap J}$ where*

$$c_{IJ} = (-1)^{\frac{|I\cap J|(|I\cap J|+1)}{2}+|I||J|}\varepsilon(I\setminus I\cap J, J\setminus I\cap J)\varepsilon(I\cap J, I\cup J\setminus I\cap J)$$

for $I, J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$.

Proposition 3.2 *The quantization map takes the following form in the sheaf theoretic approach:*

$$\Omega(f_I{\otimes}\theta^I)(\varphi_J{\otimes}\theta^J) = \sum_{I,J}\left(\frac{-i}{\theta}\right)^{n-d}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+|I|(n+d+|J|)}\alpha^{|J|-d}(1+\alpha)^{n-|J|}\,c_{IJ}(\Omega_0(f_I)\varphi_J)\theta^{I\cup J\setminus I\cap J},$$

where we set for simplicity $d = |I\cap J|$, c_{IJ} is given by Lemma 3.1 and $\Omega_0(f_I)\varphi_J(x_0) = \gamma\int \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}w\ f_I(x,w)\varphi_J(2x-x_0)e^{\frac{2i}{\theta}\omega_0(x-x_0,w)}$.

Proof First, by a change of variable, we observe that we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Omega(f)\varphi)(x_0,\xi_0) &= \sum_{I,J}\gamma\int_M \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}w f_I(x,w)\xi^I \\ &\int \mathrm{d}\xi'\ e^{\frac{i}{\theta}(2\omega_0(x-x_0,w)-(1+\alpha)\xi_0\xi-\alpha\xi'\xi_0-(1+\alpha)\xi\xi')}\varphi_J(2x-x_0)\xi'^J \\ &= \sum_{I,J}\gamma\int_M \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}w f_I(x,w)\varphi_J(2x-x_0)e^{\frac{2i}{\theta}\omega_0(x-x_0,w)} \\ &(-1)^{|I|n}\int \mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}\xi'\ \xi^I\xi'^J\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(i\chi)^k}{k!}(\xi_0{\cdot}\xi+\beta\xi'{\cdot}\xi_0+\xi{\cdot}\xi')^k, \qquad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi = \frac{-(1+\alpha)}{\theta}$, and $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}$. We then use the identity

$$(a+b+c)^k = \sum_{0\leq p+q\leq k}\frac{k!}{p!q!(k-p-q)!}a^pb^qc^{k-p-q},$$

valid for complex numbers a, b, c, to express σ_{IJ} corresponding to the last line of (3.2). This gives us:

$$\sigma_{IJ} = (-1)^{|I|n} \int \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}\xi'\ \xi^I \xi'^J \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{0 \leq p+q \leq k} \frac{(i\chi)^k}{p!q!(k-p-q)!} (\xi{\cdot}\xi')^{k-p-q} (\xi_0{\cdot}\xi)^p (\beta\xi'{\cdot}\xi_0)^q.$$

However, one can notice that

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi{\cdot}\xi')^p &= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_p} \xi^{i_1}\xi'^{i_1} \dots \xi^{i_p}\xi'^{i_p} = \sum_{L\,:\,|L|=p} \sum_{\sigma} \xi^{\sigma(1)}\xi'^{\sigma(1)} \dots \xi^{\sigma(p)}\xi'^{\sigma(p)} \\ &= \sum_{L\,:\,|L|=p} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}+2|\sigma|} \xi^L \xi'^L = p! \sum_{L\,:\,|L|=p} (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \xi^L \xi'^L,\end{aligned}$$

where σ is summed over all bijections from $\{1,\dots,p\}$ to L. Using this, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{IJ} = \int \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}\xi' \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{0\leq p+q \leq k} (i\chi)^k \sum_{K_i} (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+p+q+k+pk+(n+k-p+|J|)|I|} \beta^q \varepsilon(J, K_1 \cup K_3) \\ \varepsilon(K_1, K_3)\varepsilon(I, K_1 \cup K_2)\varepsilon(K_1, K_2)\varepsilon(K_2, K_3) \xi'^{J\cup K_1 \cup K_3} \xi^{I \cup K_1 \cup K_2} \xi_0^{K_2 \cup K_3},\end{aligned}$$

where the sum over the subsets K_i is constrained by the conditions $|K_1| = k - p - q$, $|K_2| = p$ and $|K_3| = q$. By performing the integration over ξ and ξ', only the terms with $K_1 = \complement(I \cup J)$, $K_2 = J \setminus (I \cap J)$ and $K_3 = I \setminus (I \cap J)$ contribute. Let us denote $d = |I \cap J|$, then we finally get

$$\sigma_{IJ} = (i\chi)^{n-d} (-1)^{\frac{d(d+1)}{2}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+|I|(d+n)} \varepsilon(I \setminus (I \cap J), J \setminus (I\cap J)) \varepsilon(I \cap J, (I \cup J) \setminus (I \cap J)) \xi_0^{(I\cup J)\setminus(I\cap J)}$$

which leads to the result by using the expression of the product of $Cl(n, \mathbb{C})$. ■

For subsection 3.3, it will be useful to enlarge the quantization map to the space $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ of complex-valued smooth superfunctions with every derivative bounded. But the expression (3.1) is meaningless for non-integrable functions f. Then, we introduce the notion of oscillating integral as follows. Let us define the operator O by

$$(O{\cdot}f)(x, \xi, w) = (1 - \Delta_{(x,w)}) \Big(\frac{1}{1 + x^2 + w^2} f(x, \xi, w) \Big)\ ,$$

for a smooth superfunction f with compact support and where $\Delta_{(x,w)}$ denotes the Laplacian with respect to the variables (x, w). An integration by parts shows that

$$\int \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}w\ e^{i\omega_0(x,w)} f(x, \xi, w) = \int \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}w\ e^{i\omega_0(x,w)} (O^k{\cdot}f)(x, \xi, w), \tag{3.3}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, one can also prove that there exist functions $b^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$(O^k{\cdot}f)(x, \xi, w) = \frac{1}{(1 + x^2 + w^2)^k} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^m,\ |\alpha|\leq 2k} b^\alpha(x, w) D^\alpha f(x, \xi, w). \tag{3.4}$$

As a consequence, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$, there exists a number k such that $(O^k{\cdot}f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$. Thus, we define the oscillating integral of f to be given by the RHS member of (3.3), and the quantization map can be extended into

$$\Omega : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \to \mathcal{L}(L^2(Q)),$$

where the expression (3.1) is understood as an oscillating integral.

3.2 The star-product

We present now the star-product, corresponding to the composition of operators via the quantization map Ω, together with its principal properties. Its expression is given by the von Neumann formula extended to the graded setting: for $(x, \xi, w) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$,

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(x,\xi,w) = \kappa \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}\xi_1 \mathrm{d}w_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \mathrm{d}\xi_2 \mathrm{d}w_2 \; f_1(x_1,\xi_1,w_1) f_2(x_2,\xi_2,w_2)$$
$$e^{\frac{2i}{\theta}(\omega_0(x_2-x,w_1)+\omega_0(x-x_1,w_2)+\omega_0(x_1-x_2,w))} e^{-i\frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{\alpha\theta}(\xi\xi_1+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2\xi)}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\kappa = \frac{(i\theta)^n (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \alpha^n}{(\pi\theta)^m (1+\alpha)^{2n}}$ and we recall that θ and α are the parameters of the deformation.

Proposition 3.3 *The product is defined on the space $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ with the help of the notion of oscillating integral; it is associative and compatible with the quantization map:*

$$\forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \quad : \quad \Omega(f_1 \star f_2) = \Omega(f_1)\Omega(f_2).$$

Moreover, the complex conjugation acts as: $\overline{f_1 \star f_2} = (-1)^{|f_1||f_2|} \overline{f_2} \star \overline{f_1}$.

See [13] for the proof of this Proposition.

Proposition 3.4 *The star-product* (3.5) *can be reexpressed in the sheaf-theoretic approach as*

$$(f_I \otimes \theta^I) \star (g_J \otimes \theta^J) = \sum_{I,J} \left(\frac{-i(1+\alpha)^2}{\alpha\theta} \right)^{n-d} (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+|I|(d+|J|)} c_{IJ} \, (f_I \star_0 g_J) \theta^{I\cup J \setminus I \cap J},$$

where we set for simplicity $d = |I \cap J|$, c_{IJ} is given by Lemma 3.1 and the Moyal product

$$(f_I \star_0 g_J)(x,w) = \kappa \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}w_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \mathrm{d}w_2 \; f_I(x_1,w_1) g_J(x_2,w_2) e^{\frac{2i}{\theta}(\omega_0(x_2-x,w_1)+\omega_0(x-x_1,w_2)+\omega_0(x_1-x_2,w))}.$$

Proof The calculations are similar to those of the proof of Proposition 3.2. ■

Therefore, we see that $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}), \star) \simeq (\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m), \star_0) \otimes Cl(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$. Their product $f_1 \star f_2$ is well-defined, belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ and satisfies the tracial identity:*

$$\int \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}w \, (f_1 \star f_2)(x,\xi,w) = \int \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}w \, f_1(x,\xi,w) f_2(x,\xi,w).$$

We also need for the following to perform a second order expansion of the product (3.5) in the parameter θ. If we denote $\tilde{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{2\alpha}\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$ (see Definition 2.1), the product can be reformulated as

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(z) = \kappa\theta^{m-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}\times\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} \mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 \; f_1(z + \sqrt{\theta} z_1) f_2(z + \sqrt{\theta} z_2) e^{-2i\tilde{\omega}(z_1,z_2)}, \quad (3.6)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$. Then, by using the identities

$$\int \mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 \, e^{-2i\tilde{\omega}(z_1,z_2)} = \frac{i^n (1+\alpha)^{2n} \pi^m}{\alpha^n} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}},$$
$$\int \mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 \, (z_1)_\mu (z_2)_\nu e^{-2i\tilde{\omega}(z_1,z_2)} = \frac{i^{n+1}(1+\alpha)^{2n}\pi^m}{2\alpha^n} (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+|\mu|} \tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu\mu}, \ldots$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$ and $|\mu| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes the degree of the coordinate z_μ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1 \star f_2)(z) = \frac{(1+\alpha)^{n^2-2n}}{\alpha^n} \Big(& f_1(z) f_2(z) + \frac{i\theta}{2} (-1)^{|f_1||\mu|} \tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu\mu} \partial_\mu f_1(z) \partial_\nu f_2(z) \\ & - \frac{\theta^2}{8} (-1)^{(|f_1|+1)(|\mu_1|+|\mu_2|)+|\mu_1|(1+|\mu_2|)} \tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu_1\mu_1} \tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu_2\mu_2} \partial_{\mu_1}\partial_{\mu_2} f_1(z) \partial_{\nu_1}\partial_{\nu_2} f_2(z) + \dots \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proposition 3.6 *The star-product* (3.5) *is a deformation in the direction of the standard Poisson bracket of the symplectic supermanifold* $(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}, \tilde{\omega}^{-1})$, *whose expression is given by*

$$\forall f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \quad : \quad \{f_1, f_2\}(z) = (-1)^{|f_1||\mu|} \tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu\mu} \partial_\mu f_1(z) \partial_\nu f_2(z).$$

Proof By using the first order expansion of the product in θ (see (3.7)), we can compute that $\frac{1}{\theta}(f_1 \star f_2 - (-1)^{|f_1||f_2|} f_2 \star f_1)|_{\theta=0} = \frac{-i(1+\alpha)^{n^2-2n}}{\alpha^n} \{f_1, f_2\}$. ■

3.3 Universal deformation formula

In this subsection, we consider an action of the (abelian) supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ on a Fréchet algebra $(\mathbf{A}, |\cdot|_j)$:

$$\rho : \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \times (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \to (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}),$$

satisfying the conditions:

- $\rho_0 = \mathrm{id}$; $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, $\rho_{z_1+z_2} = \rho_{z_1}\rho_{z_2}$.
- $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, $\rho_z : (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \to (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-linear automorphism of algebras.
- By writing $z = (y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, we can expand the action as: $\rho_{(y,\xi)}(a) = \sum_I \rho_y(a)_I \xi^I$, and $\forall a \in \mathbf{A}$, $\forall I$, $y \mapsto \rho_y(a)_I$ is $\mathbf{A}$-valued and continuous.
- There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

  $$\forall a \in \mathbf{A}, \forall I, \forall j, \quad \exists k, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{B}M, \quad |\rho_y(a)_I|_j \leq C|a|_k.$$

We can notice that the star-product (3.5) can be trivially extended to $\mathbf{A}$-valued superfunctions $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$. With the action ρ, we will deform the product of $\mathbf{A}$ by using this extended star-product.

Definition 3.7 (smooth vectors) The set of smooth vectors of $\mathbf{A}$ for the action ρ is defined as

$$\mathbf{A}^\infty = \{a \in \mathbf{A}, \quad \rho^a := z \mapsto \rho_z(a) \text{ is smooth on } \mathbb{R}^{m|n}\}.$$

In the sheaf-theoretic approach, with the identification of Proposition 2.9, for any $a \in \mathbf{A}^\infty$, ρ^a belongs to $C^\infty_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) := C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{A}) \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^n$. ◆

We recall the following Lemma proved in [13].

Lemma 3.8 *The set of smooth vectors* $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ *is dense in* $\mathbf{A}$. *Moreover, for any* $a \in \mathbf{A}^\infty$, *the map* ρ^a *lies in* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$.

This means that we can now form the star-product of ρ^a and ρ^b, for a and b: smooth vectors.

Proposition 3.9 *The following expression:*

$$\forall a,b \in \mathbf{A}^\infty \quad : \quad a \star_\rho b := (\rho^a \star \rho^b)(0)$$

defines an associative product on $\mathbf{A}^\infty$*. Endowed with the seminorms*

$$|a|_{j,\alpha} := |\rho^a|_{j,\alpha} = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^m} \{\sum_I |D^\alpha \rho_y(a)_I|_j\},$$

$(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \star_\rho)$ *is a (noncommutative) Fréchet algebra.*

Let us define the twist associated to the deformation:

$$F = \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m|n}\times\mathbb{R}^{m|n}} \mathrm{d}z_1\mathrm{d}z_2 \; e^{-\frac{2i}{\theta}\tilde{\omega}(z_1,z_2)} \rho_{z_1}\otimes\rho_{z_2}. \tag{3.8}$$

By denoting $\mu_0 : \mathbf{A}\otimes\mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}$ the undeformed product of $\mathbf{A}$, then the deformed product can be expressed as $\mu_F = \mu_0 \circ F$, namely: $\mu_F(a\otimes b) = a \star_\rho b$. The expression (3.8) is also called the universal deformation formula of the supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ since it can deform a dense subspace $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ of every algebra $\mathbf{A}$ on which $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ acts (and satisfying the axioms of the beginning of this subsection).

Proposition 3.10 *The twist* F *is a continuous endomorphism on the projective tensor product of* $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ *with itself:* $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathbf{A}^\infty)$*. Moreover, it verifies:*

$$\tilde{\mu}F((\tilde{\mu}F)\otimes id) = \tilde{\mu}F(id\otimes(\tilde{\mu}F)), \quad \tilde{\mu}F(\mathbb{1}\otimes id) = id = \tilde{\mu}F(id\otimes\mathbb{1}).$$

Proof Let $c \in \mathbf{A}^\infty \otimes \mathbf{A}^\infty$. Then,

$$\pi_{j,\alpha;k,\beta}(F(c)) = \inf\{|\sum_i F(a_i\otimes b_i)|_{j,\alpha,k,\beta}\}$$

where c can be written as $\sum_i a_i\otimes b_i$, and the infimum is taken over all such decompositions. By using the definition of oscillating integral (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{j,\alpha;k,\beta}(F(c)) &= \inf|\kappa' \int \mathrm{d}z_1\mathrm{d}z_2 e^{-\frac{2i}{\theta}\tilde{\omega}(z_1,z_2)} \sum_i \Big(O^{k_1}_{z_1}\rho_{z_1}(a_i)\Big)\otimes\Big(O^{k_2}_{z_2}\rho_{z_2}(b_i)\Big)|_{j,\alpha,k,\beta}\\ &\leq \inf|\kappa'|\sum_{i,I,J}\int \mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}y_2 \frac{1}{(1+y_1^2)^{k_1}(1+y_2^2)^{k_2}}\\ &\quad \sum_{\gamma,\delta}|b_1^\gamma(y_1)b_2^\delta(y_2)||D^\gamma\rho_{(y_1}(a_i)_I|_{j,\alpha}|D^\delta\rho_{(y_2}(b_i)_J|_{k,\beta}\end{aligned}$$

in the notations of (3.4) and for κ' a constant. By definition of the seminorm,

$$|D^\gamma\rho_{(y_1}(a_i)_I|_{j,\alpha} = \sup_{y_3\in\mathbb{R}^m}\{\sum_K |D^\alpha_{y_3}\rho_{y_3}(D^\gamma_{y_1}\rho_{(y_1}(a_i)_I)_K|_j\}.$$

Since ρ is a group action, we can deduce that

$$\rho_{y_3}(D^\gamma_{y_1}\rho_{(y_1}(a_i)_I)_K = (-1)^{|I||K|}\varepsilon(I,K)D^\gamma_{y_1}\rho_{y_1+y_3}(a_i)_{I\cup K}. \tag{3.9}$$

We then choose sufficiently large numbers k_1 and k_2 such that there exists a constant $C>0$ with

$$\pi_{j,\alpha;k,\beta}(F(c)) \leq C\inf\sum_{i,\gamma,\delta}|a_i|_{j,\alpha+\gamma}|b_i|_{k,\beta+\delta} = C\sum_{\gamma,\delta}|c|_{j,\alpha+\gamma,k,\beta+\delta},$$

where the sum on multi-indices $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^m$ satisfies the constraint $|\gamma| \leq 2k_1$ and $|\delta| \leq 2k_2$. The last inequality shows that F is continuous on $\mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathbf{A}^\infty$. The two identities can be deduced from the associativity of the product μ_F and the fact that $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit of this product. $\blacksquare$

Example 3.11 If we take $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ and $\rho_z(f)(z') = f(z + z')$, then the space of smooth vectors is $\mathbf{A}^\infty = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ and the product μ_F corresponds to (3.5). ♦

There are a lot of other examples, like the actions of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ over a certain class of continuous superfunctions on the trivial supermanifolds on which $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is acting (see subsections 2.1 and 2.2, and [13]).

3.4 Deformation of comodule-algebras

Let us present the sheaf-theoretic version of the universal deformation formula introduced in 3.3. Thus, we consider the Fréchet-Hopf algebra $H = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ associated to the supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ in subsection 2.4. The reformulation of the action ρ in the sheaf-theoretic approach will be done by continuous coactions of the Fréchet-Hopf algebra.

Lemma 3.12 *The topological tensor product τ is compatible with the projective one π, in the sense that the exchange map*

$$\sigma_{23} : (\mathbf{A}\widehat{\otimes}_\tau H)\widehat{\otimes}_\pi(\mathbf{A}\widehat{\otimes}_\tau H) \to (\mathbf{A}\widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathbf{A})\widehat{\otimes}_\tau(H\widehat{\otimes}_\tau H),$$

defined by $\sigma_{23}(a_1 \otimes f_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes f_2) = a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes f_1 \otimes f_2$, is continuous, for any Fréchet algebra $(\mathbf{A}, |\cdot|_j)$.

Proof See subsection 2.4 for the definitions of the seminorms τ and π. For $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{A}$ and $f_i, g_i \in H$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{j,\alpha;k,\beta}(\sum_i a_i \otimes f_i \otimes b_i \otimes g_i) &= \inf \sum_i \tau_{j,\alpha}(a_i \otimes f_i)\tau_{k,\beta}(b_i \otimes g_i)\\ &= \inf \sum_i \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{I,J} |a_i|_j |D^\alpha f_{i,I}(x)| |b_i|_k |D^\beta g_{i,J}(y)|.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{j,k;\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_{23}(\sum_i a_i \otimes f_i \otimes b_i \otimes g_i)) &= \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{I,J} \pi_{j,k}(\sum_i (a_i \otimes b_i) D^\alpha f_{i,I}(x) D^\beta g_{i,J}(y))\\ &= \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{I,J} \inf \sum_i |a_i|_j |b_i|_k |D^\alpha f_{i,I}(x)| |D^\beta g_{i,J}(y)|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\inf \sum_i |a_i|_j |b_i|_k |D^\alpha f_{i,I}(x)| |D^\beta g_{i,J}(y)| \leq \inf \sum_i \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^m} |a_i|_j |b_i|_k |D^\alpha f_{i,I}(x)| |D^\beta g_{i,J}(y)|,$$

there exists a constant $1 \leq C \leq 2^{n+1}$ such that

$$\tau_{j,k;\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_{23}(\sum_i a_i \otimes f_i \otimes b_i \otimes g_i)) \leq C\, \pi_{j,\alpha;k,\beta}(\sum_i a_i \otimes f_i \otimes b_i \otimes g_i),$$

which proves the continuity of σ_{23}. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 3.13 *The action ρ of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ on a Fréchet algebra $(\mathbf{A}, \mu_0)$ (see subsection 3.3) generates the continuous coaction $\chi : \mathbf{A}^\infty \to \mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\tau H$ defined by*

$$\forall a \in \mathbf{A}^\infty, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \quad : \quad \chi(a)(z) := \rho_z(a).$$

$(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_0)$ is then a Fréchet H-comodule algebra.

Proof Due to the fact that ρ is a group action and that $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, $\rho_z : (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \to (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ is an algebra-morphism, we deduce that χ satisfies the axioms of a coaction:

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\chi = (\chi \otimes \mathrm{id})\chi, \quad (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\chi = \mathrm{id},$$

and that $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ is a H-comodule algebra:

$$(\mu_0 \otimes \tilde{\mu})\sigma_{23}(\chi \otimes \chi) = \chi\mu_0, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\mu_0 : \mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathbf{A}^\infty \to \mathbf{A}^\infty$ coincides with the undeformed product of $\mathbf{A}$ and σ_{23} (for $\mathbf{A}^\infty$) is defined in Lemma 3.12. Note that all the maps involved in Equation (3.10) are continuous due to the compatibility of the topological tensor products τ and π (see Lemma 3.12). Let a be in $\mathbf{A}^\infty$, $\chi(a) \in \mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\tau \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{A}^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{j,\alpha;\beta}(\chi(a)) &= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} \sum_I |D^\beta \rho_y(a)_I|_{j,\alpha} \\ &= \sup_{y,y'} \sum_{I,J} |D^\alpha_{y'} \rho_{y'}(D^\beta_y \rho_y(a)_I)_J|_j.\end{aligned}$$

If we use (3.9), we obtain

$$\tau_{j,\alpha;\beta}(\chi(a)) = \sup_{y,y'} \sum_{I,J} |\varepsilon(I,J) D^\alpha_{y'} D^\beta_y \rho_{y+y'}(a)_{I \cup J}|_j,$$

which shows that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\tau_{j,\alpha;\beta}(\chi(a)) \leq |a|_{j,\alpha+\beta}$, i.e. χ is continuous. ■

Note that, as in subsection 2.4 where the smoothness of m was equivalent to the continuity of Δ, the smoothness of ρ on $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ is equivalent here to the continuity of χ.

Now, the algebra $(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_0)$ can be deformed by the twist F defined in (3.8) so that $(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_F = \mu_0 F$ is a Fréchet algebra. The universal deformation formula constructed before provides therefore a deformation of the category of the Fréchet H-comodule algebras. Of course, once deformed, there is a priori no reason for $(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_F)$ to be again a H-comodule algebra. This will be investigated in subsection 4.2.

4 Symmetries of the deformation

4.1 Internal symmetries

In this subsection, we characterize the internal symmetries of the deformed star-product of subsection 3.2 and show that they correspond to the inhomogeneous orthosymplectic group.

Definition 4.1 (Internal symmetries) The internal symmetries of the deformation quantization are the diffeomorphisms $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ which let the product (3.5) invariant:

$$\forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}) \quad : \quad \phi^*(f_1 \star f_2) = (\phi^* f_1) \star (\phi^* f_2),$$

where ϕ^* is the pullback of the diffeomorphism ϕ. The set of such internal symmetries is denoted by $\mathsf{Aut}(\star)$ and is a group for the composition of maps. ◆

For the proof of Proposition 4.3, we need to introduce the analog of the Jacobian matrix of a diffeomorphism in the supergeometric setting. If $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$, we set the square supermatrix of size $m+n$ (see Definition 2.6):

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \quad : \quad \phi_{\mu\nu}(z) = (-1)^{|\mu|(1+|\nu|)} \partial_\nu \phi^\mu(z), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \{1, \ldots, m+n\}$, $|\mu| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes the degree of the coordinate z_μ and ϕ^μ is the μ-th component of ϕ. Note that the degree of $\phi_{\mu\nu}(z)$ is $|\mu| - |\nu|$.

Lemma 4.2 *For $\phi, \psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$, one has:*

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n} \quad : \quad (\phi \circ \psi)_{\mu\nu}(z) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{m+n} \phi_{\mu\rho}(\psi(z))\psi_{\rho\nu}(z).$$

Proof Indeed, by using (4.1) and the composition law (2.5), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi \circ \psi)_{\mu\nu}(z) &= (-1)^{|\mu|(1+|\nu|)} \partial_\nu (\phi^\mu \circ \psi)(z) \\ &= \sum_\rho (-1)^{(|\mu|+|\rho|)(|\rho|+|\nu|)} \psi_{\rho\nu}(z)\phi_{\mu\rho}(\psi(z)) \\ &= \sum_\rho \phi_{\mu\rho}(\psi(z))\psi_{\rho\nu}(z)\end{aligned}$$

■

As a consequence, we can express the action of a derivative on a pullback by:

$$\partial_\mu(\phi^* f)(z) = (\phi^{ST})_{\mu\nu}(z)\partial_\nu f(\phi(z)),$$

where we use the implicit summation convention (here on ν), and (ϕ^{ST}) is the supertranspose defined in (2.1): $(\phi^{ST})_{\mu\nu} = (-1)^{|\nu|(1+|\mu|)}\phi_{\nu\mu}$.

Proposition 4.3 *The internal symmetry group* $\mathsf{Aut}(\star)$ *of this deformation of* $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ *is isomorphic to the inhomogeneous orthosymplectic group* $OSP(n, \frac{m}{2}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$.

Proof We use the second order expansion (3.7) of the star-product and check the condition $\phi^*(f_1 \star f_2) = (\phi^* f_1) \star (\phi^* f_2)$, for any f_1 and f_2 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$.

- At the order 0 in the deformation parameter θ, one has: $f_1(\phi(z))f_2(\phi(z)) = f_1(\phi(z))f_2(\phi(z))$ which is trivially satisfied.
- At the order 1, one obtains:

  $$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{|f||\mu|}\tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu\mu}\partial_\mu f_1(\phi(z))\partial_\nu f_2(\phi(z)) = (-1)^{|f||\mu|}\tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu\mu}(\phi^{ST})_{\mu\rho}(z)\partial_\rho f_1(\phi(z)) \\ (\phi^{ST})_{\nu\sigma}(z)\partial_\sigma f_2(\phi(z)).\end{aligned}$$

  After simplification, it gives:

  $$\tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\sigma\rho} = \tilde{\omega}^{-1}_{\nu\mu}\phi_{\rho\mu}(z)(\phi^{ST})_{\nu\sigma}(z),$$

  by using the fact that $|\mu| = |\nu|$ and $|\rho| = |\sigma|$ since $\tilde{\omega}^{-1}$ is even. Then, $(\tilde{\omega}^T)^{-1} = (\phi)(z)(\tilde{\omega}^T)^{-1}(\phi^{ST})(z)$ for any z. It means that for any z, the matrix $\phi_{\mu\nu}(z)$ is in a supergroup isomorphic to the orthosymplectic group $OSP(n, \frac{m}{2})$, composed of supermatrices in $GL(m, n)$ leaving the standard even symplectic form invariant.

- At the order 2, the condition implies:

$$\partial_\mu(\phi^{ST})_{\nu\rho}(z) = 0.$$

  Then, the diffeomorphism ϕ is an affine map: $\phi^\mu(z) = \phi_{\mu\nu}z_\nu + \tau_\mu$, with $\phi_{\mu\nu}$ a constant element determined above and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$.

Conversely, by using expression (3.6), it is straightforward to prove that any element of the inhomogeneous orthosymplectic supergroup is in $\mathsf{Aut}(\star)$. ■

4.2 External symmetries

We want to determine the external symmetries of the deformation. In the general case, for a (non-graded) Kählerian Lie group G instead of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ is not a $(C^\infty(G), \tilde{\mu})$-comodule algebra anymore, but the product $\tilde{\mu}$ has to be twisted (see [5, 25]). Then, $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ is a comodule algebra on the twisted Hopf algebra, which stands for the definition of the external symmetries of the deformation.

In our case, we will see that the external symmetries of the deformation of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ are $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}), \tilde{\mu})$, without twisting this algebra.

Proposition 4.4 $(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_F)$ *is a Fréchet* $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}), \tilde{\mu})$*-comodule algebra.*

Proof The only condition to check is $(\mu_F \otimes \tilde{\mu})\sigma_{23}(\chi \otimes \chi) = \chi\mu_F$. For $a, b \in \mathbf{A}^\infty$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$,

$$\chi\mu_F(a \otimes b)(z) = \kappa \int \mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 e^{-\frac{2i}{\theta}\tilde{\omega}(z_1,z_2)} \rho_z(\rho_{z_1}(a)\rho_{z_2}(b))$$

$$(\mu_F \otimes \tilde{\mu})\sigma_{23}(\chi \otimes \chi)(a \otimes b)(z) = \kappa \int \mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2 e^{-\frac{2i}{\theta}\tilde{\omega}(z_1,z_2)} \rho_{z_1}\rho_z(a)\rho_{z_2}\rho_z(b).$$

Since ρ_z is an algebra-morphism, ρ a group action and $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is abelian, we obtain that $\chi\mu_F(a \otimes b)(z) = (\mu_F \otimes \tilde{\mu})\sigma_{23}(\chi \otimes \chi)(a \otimes b)(z)$. ■

Remark 4.5 Note that $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}), \tilde{\mu}_F = \tilde{\mu} \circ F, \Delta)$ is not a Hopf algebra anymore: the deformed product $\tilde{\mu}_F$ is not compatible with the untwisted coproduct Δ. The meaning of Proposition 4.4 is that the deformation of the category of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$-comodule-algebras still corresponds to the supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$, and not to a quantum supergroup, because $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ is abelian. ⧫

4.3 Conclusion

In this paper, we have established the equivalence of the definition of a supergroup with the notion of sheaf of graded commutative Fréchet-Hopf algebras. Then, we have constructed a deformation of the product of the Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}), \tilde{\mu}, \Delta)$, giving explicit formulae in the sheaf-theoretic approach, and we have shown that this deformation is in the direction of the standard Poisson bracket of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$.

In this Fréchet-Hopf algebra picture, an action of $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ on a Fréchet algebra $\mathbf{A}$ has been shown to be equivalent to a continuous coaction $\mathbf{A}^\infty \to \mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\tau \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ on the $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$-comodule algebra $(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_0)$ of smooth vectors. The universal deformation formula (UDF) consists in deforming the product of such Fréchet algebras $\mathbf{A}$ on which $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ acts by using the deformed product of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$. We have also proved that the UDF is associated to a continuous twist $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A}^\infty \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathbf{A}^\infty)$ on the projective tensor product of $\mathbf{A}^\infty$ by itself, which deforms the Fréchet comodule-algebras $(\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_0) \rightsquigarrow (\mathbf{A}^\infty, \mu_0 F)$. This shows that the Fréchet-Hopf algebra setting introduced in this paper is natural for the supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{m|n}$ and its UDF. Furthermore, we have characterized the (internal) symmetries of the star-product of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$ as the inhomogeneous orthosymplectic group, and the (external) symmetries of the UDF as $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n}), \tilde{\mu}, \Delta)$.

We can now compare the efficiency of both approaches of supergeometry to construct a deformation quantization.

- As being closer to usual geometry, the concrete approach is very appropriate to construct an induced representation of the supergroup (in particular to choose a polarization), to define the quantization map, to give an explicit expression of the star-product, as well as to determine its internal symmetries.
- On the contrary, the sheaf-theoretic approach is closer to the spirit of noncommutative geometry and more efficient to define appropriate functional spaces and their structures, to implement the UDF as a twist of continuous coactions of the Fréchet-Hopf algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{m|n})$, and to characterize its external symmetries.

As a conclusion, both approaches are very useful for the construction of deformation quantization of supergroups, and their equivalence recalled in subsection 2.2 has to be used. This analysis could be helpful to build non-formal deformations of non-flat supergroups. Moreover, note that the Fréchet-Hopf algebra framework introduced in subsection 2.4 leads to a natural notion of "quantum supergroup", whose examples could be given by external symmetries of UDF for non-abelian supergroups.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks Pierre Bieliavsky, Philippe Bonneau and Gijs Tuynman for interesting discussions on this work.

References

[1] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry.* Academic Press, San Diego, New York, London, 1994.

[2] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, "Deformation theory and quantization," *Ann. Phys.* **11** (1978) 61–151.

[3] M. Kontsevich, "Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds," *Lett. Math. Phys.* **66** (2003) 157–216.

[4] V. G. Drinfeld, "Quasi-Hopf algebras," *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1989) 1419–1457.

[5] A. Giaquinto and J. Zhang, "Bialgebra actions, twists, and universal deformation formulas," *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **128** (1998) 133.

[6] M. A. Rieffel, "Deformation Quantization of Heisenberg Manifolds," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989) 531–562.

[7] P. Bieliavsky, "Strict Quantization of Solvable Symmetric Spaces," *J. Sympl. Geom.* **1** (2002) 269–320.

[8] P. Bieliavsky, P. Bonneau, and Y. Maeda, "Universal deformation formulae, symplectic lie groups and symmetric spaces," *Pacific J. Math.* **230** (2007) 41–57, `arXiv:math/0308189`.

[9] P. Bieliavsky and V. Gayral, "Deformation Quantization for Lie Group Actions," *in progress* .

[10] F. Berezin and D. Leites, "Supermanifolds," *Soviet Maths Doklady* **16** (1976) 1218–1222.

[11] B. Kostant, *Graded manifolds, graded Lie theory and prequantization.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 570 (Springer), 1977.

[12] B. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds.* Cambridge UP, 1984.

[13] P. Bieliavsky, A. de Goursac, and G. Tuynmann, "Deformation quantization for Heisenberg supergroup," `arXiv:1011.2370 [math.QA]`.

[14] A. de Goursac, “On the origin of the harmonic term in noncommutative quantum field theory,” *SIGMA* **6** (2010) 048, `arXiv:1003.5788 [math-ph]`.

[15] A. de Goursac, J.-C. Wallet, and R. Wulkenhaar, “Noncommutative induced gauge theory,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C51** (2007) 977–987, `arXiv:hep-th/0703075`.

[16] A. de Goursac, T. Masson, and J.-C. Wallet, “Noncommutative ε-graded connections,” *to appear in J. Noncommut. Geom.* , `arXiv:0811.3567 [math-ph]`.

[17] A. Rogers, *Supermanifolds, Theory and Applications.* World Scientific Publishing, 2007.

[18] G. M. Tuynman, *Supermanifolds and Supergroups.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2005.

[19] S. Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[20] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires.* American Mathematical Society, 1966.

[21] V. G. Drinfeld, “Quantum groups,” *Proceedings ICM-1986, AMS* (1987) 798.

[22] S. L. Woronowicz, “Compact matrix pseudogroups,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987) 613.

[23] P. Bonneau and D. Sternheimer, “Topological Hopf algebras, quantum groups and deformation quantization,” *Proceedings of the conference Hopf algebras in noncommutative geometry and physics (VUB, Brussels, May 2002)* , `arXiv:math/0307277`.

[24] A. de Goursac, *Noncommutative geometry, gauge theory and renormalization.* Verlag Dr. Muller, Saarbrucken, 2010.

[25] P. Bieliavsky, P. Bonneau, F. D’Andrea, V. Gayral, Y. Maeda, and Y. Voglaire, “Non-formal Drinfeld Twists for Kählerian Lie Groups,” *in progress* .